

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/500,446	02/09/2000	Katsuhiko Tachibana	Q57866	2630	
75	590 07/01/2003				
	Zinn & Seas PLLC	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylva Washington, De	nia Avenue NW C 20037		BISSETT, M	BISSETT, MELANIE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	\mathcal{I}	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/500,446		TACHIBANA ET AL					
•	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Melanie D. Bissett		1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or t	o)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	•							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has beer 	n consid	iered but does NO	Fplace the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SO	LELY to	issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entere	ed or b)[ed belov	☐ will be entered a v or appended.	nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b)	disappr	oved by the Examir	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen				6				
10. Other:	(), () () () () () () () () ()		·					
								





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment adds the subject matter of claim 13 into claim 1. It is first noted that claim 13 has been rejected under 35 USC 103 and that it has not been proposed to cancel claim 13 or claim 12. If the proposed amendment should be entered at a later time, the examiner would consider maintaining the rejection based on 35 USC 103 and including claim objections to claims 12-13 for failure to further limit the base claim. Regarding the applicant's arguments of unexpected results, it is noted that the working and comparative examples relied upon by the applicant are not commensurate in scope with the claims. The examples show the use of only one outer polycarbonate adhesive layer composition. Also, other factors such as adhesive layer thickness could have affecte the results. The working examples have a much thicker PSA layer since a relatively thick subbing layer is included. The reference indicates that adhesive thicknesses up to 150 microns may be used for the outer adhesive layer but that other adhesives may also be included. The examiner questions whether a sealing material having a polycarbonate PSA layer thickness equivalent to the combined thickness of the PSA layers of the applicant's working examles would have comparable waterstop properties.

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700